

## 2025 Annual Drinking Water System Summary Report

### Plattsville Drinking Water System

#### 1. GENERAL INFORMATION

Oxford County (the County) prepares a report summarizing system operation and water quality for every municipal drinking water system annually. The reports detail information required for Annual Reports and Summary Reports under Ontario Regulation (O. Reg.) 170/03 of the *Safe Drinking Water Act, 2002* including the latest water quality testing results, water quantity statistics and any adverse conditions that may have occurred for the previous year. They are available for review by the end of February on the County website at [www.oxfordcounty.ca/services-for-you/water-wastewater/drinking-water/](http://www.oxfordcounty.ca/services-for-you/water-wastewater/drinking-water/) or by contacting the Public Works Department.

All efforts have been made to ensure the information presented in this report is accurate. If you have any questions or comments concerning the report, please contact the County at the address and phone number listed below or by email at [water@oxfordcounty.ca](mailto:water@oxfordcounty.ca).

---

<b>Drinking Water System:</b>	Plattsville Drinking Water System
<b>Drinking Water System Number:</b>	210001291
<b>Reporting Period:</b>	January 1, 2025 – December 31, 2025

#### Drinking Water System Owner & Contact Information:

Oxford County Public Works Department - Water Services

P.O. Box 1614

21 Reeve Street

Woodstock, ON N4S 7Y3

**Telephone:** 519-539-9800

**Toll Free:** 866-537-7778

**Email:** [water@oxfordcounty.ca](mailto:water@oxfordcounty.ca)

---

## 1.1 System Description

The Plattsburg Drinking Water System (DWS) is a large municipal residential water system as defined by Ontario Regulation (O. Reg.) 170/03 and serves a population of approximately 1,950 people. The system consists of two well sources which are secure groundwater wells. The water is treated with sodium hypochlorite for disinfection and sodium silicate to sequester iron. In 2025, approximately 4,510 L of sodium hypochlorite and 3,485 L (4,930 Kg) of sodium silicate were used in the water treatment process. These chemicals are certified to meet standards set by the Standards Council of Canada or American National Standards Institute.

The treatment facility houses pumps and monitoring equipment. An 1,830 m<sup>3</sup> water tower provides storage and maintains pressure in the distribution system. A standby generator is available to run the facility in the event of a power failure. The system is maintained by licensed water system operators, who operate treatment and monitoring equipment and collect samples as specified by the Regulation. Alarms automatically notify operators in the event of failure of critical operational requirements. The Plattsburg DWS does not supply drinking water to any other drinking water systems.

## 1.2 Major Expenses

Planning for major drinking water system expenses is included within Oxford County's Water Services Master Plan and managed according to our Asset Management and Capital Replacement Program.

The Plattsburg Drinking Water System is one of 14 water systems with revenues and expenses pooled for economy-of-scale purposes. The systems are combined into the Township Water financial system and in 2025 had a forecasted operating and maintenance expenditures of approximately \$4,370,000.

In addition to regular operational and maintenance expenditures, Capital Improvement Projects for the Townships systems were forecasted to be \$2,500,000 for improvements to water treatment systems and replacement of distribution mains in the Township System.

Township Capital Improvement Projects included:

- \$530,000 repair and maintenance on wells, water pump stations, and water treatment facilities;
- \$190,000 for distribution replacements;
- \$125,000 Plattsburg water tower maintenance and repainting; and
- \$50,000 for facilities improvements.

Capital Improvement projects for all systems included:

- \$1,577,000 to implement a Countywide SCADA Master Plan for all water systems.

## 2. MICROBIOLOGICAL TESTING

### 2.1 *E. coli* and Total Coliform

Bacteriological tests for *E. coli* and total coliforms are required weekly from the raw and treated water at the facility and from the distribution system. Extra samples are taken after major repairs or maintenance work. Any *E. coli* or total coliform results above the MAC of 0 colonies per 100 mL in treated water samples must be reported to the Ministry of Environment, Conservation and Parks (MECP) and the Medical Officer of Health (MOH). Resamples and any other required actions are taken as quickly as possible. The results from the annual sampling program are shown on the table below. There was one adverse test result from 216 treated water samples in 2025.

Source	Number of Samples	Range of <i>E. coli</i> Min - Max MAC = 0 (colonies / 100 mL)	Range of Total Coliform Min - Max MAC = 0 (colonies / 100 mL)
Raw	103	0	0
Treated	52	0	0
Distribution	164	0	0 - 2

### 2.2 Heterotrophic Plate Count (HPC)

HPC analyses are required from the treated and distribution water. The tests are required weekly for treated water and for 25% of the required distribution system bacteriological samples. HPC should be less than 500 colonies per 1 mL. Results over 500 colonies per 1 mL may indicate a change in water quality but it is not considered an indicator of unsafe water. Annual results are shown in the following table:

Source	Number of Samples	Range of HPC Min – Max (colonies / mL)
Treated	52	0 – 105*
Distribution	39	0 - 37

\* HPC result for one treated sample was unavailable due to laboratory contamination.

## 3. CHEMICAL TESTING

The *Safe Drinking Water Act*, 2002 requires periodic testing of the water for approximately 60 different chemical parameters. The latest results for all parameters are provided in Appendix 'A'. The sampling frequency varies for different types and sizes of water systems and chemical parameters. If the concentration of a parameter is above half of the Maximum Allowable Concentration (MAC) under the Ontario Drinking Water Quality Standards, an increased testing frequency of once every three months is required by O. Reg. 170/03. Where concerns regarding a parameter exist, the MECP can also require additional sampling be undertaken.

Information on the health effects and allowable limits of components in drinking water may be found on the MECP web page through the link provided in Appendix 'A'.

Additional information on common chemical parameters specific to the Plattsburg DWS is provided below.

### 3.1 Sodium

Sodium levels in drinking water are tested once every five years. The aesthetic objective is 200 mg/L meaning at levels less than this, sodium will not impair the taste of the water. The latest test results are provided in Appendix 'A'.

When sodium levels are above 20 mg/L the MECP and the MOH are notified. Southwestern Public Health maintains an information page on sodium in drinking water at <https://www.swpublichealth.ca/news/posts/public-health-issues-annual-reminder-about-fluoride-and-sodium-in-oxford-drinking-water/> in order to help people on sodium restricted diets monitor their sodium intake.

### 3.2 Iron, Hardness and Manganese

These are aesthetic parameters that may affect the appearance of the water but are not related to health. Well water commonly has high levels of hardness and other minerals from being in contact with underground rock formations. Many households have water softeners to help reduce white calcium deposits and improve the efficiency of soaps and reduce iron levels. This information is included here to help residents set the water softener at the level recommended by the manufacturer. Samples for hardness are collected at a minimum every 3 years from raw or treated water. The hardness for the Plattsburg Drinking Water System is 1,327 mg/L (78 grains/gallon) based on an historical running average and operational conditions.

Levels of iron less than 0.30 mg/L (ppm) are not considered to cause aesthetic problems such as discoloured water. In Plattsburg, sodium silicate is added to help keep iron in suspension. Manganese is commonly found in conjunction with iron and also causes discoloured water. Manganese levels in this system are at or above the aesthetic objective of 0.05 mg/L.

- The average iron level in the last four years is 0.61 mg/L; and
- The average manganese level in the last four years is 0.06 mg/L.

### 3.3 Additional Testing Required by MECP

Under the Regulation, additional quarterly sampling is required when a parameter listed in Schedule 23 or 24 exceeds half of the MAC. Based on the latest test results no additional testing is required under O. Reg. 170/03.

No additional testing requirements are listed in the Municipal Drinking Water Licence (MDWL).

## 4. OPERATIONAL MONITORING

### 4.1 Chlorine Residual

Free chlorine levels of the treated water are continuously monitored at the discharge point of the Water Treatment Facilities (WTF) and in the distribution system. Distribution system free chlorine residuals are also checked weekly at various locations during sampling. As a target, free chlorine residual within the distribution system should be above 0.20 mg/L. A free chlorine level lower than 0.05 mg/L must be reported and corrective action taken. A summary of the chlorine residual readings is provided in the table below. There were no reportable incidents in 2025.

### 4.2 Turbidity

Turbidity of treated water is continuously monitored at the treatment facility as a change in turbidity can indicate an operational problem. As a minimum, turbidity for each well is required to be tested monthly. Turbidity is measured in nephelometric turbidity units (NTU). Under O. Reg. 170/03 turbidity in groundwater from a secure well or a well with effective in-situ filtration is not reportable, however, turbidity should be < 1 NTU at the treatment plant and < 5 NTU in the distribution system. A summary of the annual monitoring results is provided in the following table:

Parameter	Number of Tests or Monitoring Frequency	Range of Results (Min – Max) and Average
Chlorine residual after treatment (mg/L)	Continuous	(0.37 – 4.00) 1.55
Chlorine residual in distribution (mg/L)	Continuous	(0.42 – 3.19) 1.35
Well 1 turbidity before treatment (NTU)	51	(0.16 – 2.31) 0.53
Well 2 turbidity before treatment (NTU)	52	(0.11 – 2.55) 0.58
Turbidity after treatment (NTU)	Continuous	(0.04 – 4.00) 0.15

## 5. WATER QUANTITY

Continuous monitoring of flow rates from supply wells into the treatment system and from the WTF into the distribution system is required by O. Reg. 170/03. The Permit to Take Water (PTTW) and Municipal Drinking Water License (MDWL) issued by the MECP regulate the amount of water that can be utilized over a given time period. Terms used to evaluate capacity and current values for the Plattsburg DWS are provided in the following table:

Capacity Term	Description	Capacity (m <sup>3</sup> /day)
Supply Capacity	The limiting capacity of either the PTTW or MDWL.	2,290
Dynamic Supply Capacity	Accounts for any current constraints on the water supply (such as offline wells, reduced well capacity, water quality considerations).	2,290
Firm Capacity	Firm Capacity is defined as the removal of the highest producing well in an emergency or operational / maintenance situation with the ability to transport a maximum of 100 m <sup>3</sup> /day to maintain system integrity if appropriate.	2,290

Capacity Term	Description	Capacity (m <sup>3</sup> /day)
<b>Dynamic Firm Capacity</b>	Considers the removal of the largest production well and other current system constraints. Trucked in water may be considered for some systems.	1,296

This system consists of two supply wells which are each equally rated to a capacity that can independently achieve the systems Supply Capacity. For Firm Capacity if either well is out of service Supply Capacity can be achieved. The Dynamic Firm Capacity considers the reduced well yield for Well 1 in the event that Well 2 is offline and does not consider trucked in water.

A summary comparing flows in 2025 to current capacities is provided in the table below and presented graphically in Appendix 'B'.

Flow Summary	Supply Capacity (m <sup>3</sup> /day)	Dynamic Supply Capacity (m <sup>3</sup> /day)	Max Daily Flow (m <sup>3</sup> /day)	Average Daily Flow (m <sup>3</sup> /day)	Average Monthly Flow (m <sup>3</sup> /month)	Total Yearly Flow (m <sup>3</sup> /year)
Plattsville Water Treatment Facility	2,290	2,290	1,661	424	12,907	154,889

## 6. NON-COMPLIANCE FINDINGS AND ADVERSE RESULTS

This section documents any known incidents of non-compliance or adverse results, and the associated corrective actions taken to resolve the issue. Non-compliance issues are typically identified by either the Operating Authority or the MECP Drinking Water Inspectors. The issues and associated required actions are documented in the system's Annual Inspection Report. All non-compliance issues are investigated, corrective actions taken and documented using the County's Drinking Water Quality Management System (DWQMS) procedures.

### 6.1 Non-Compliance Findings

The annual MECP inspection took place in September 2025. There were no non-compliance findings, and the Inspection Report Rating was 100%.

### 6.2 Adverse Results

Any adverse bacteriological or chemical results or observations of operational conditions that may indicate adverse water quality are reported as required and corrective actions are taken. There were two adverse water quality incidents in 2025.

- A watermain break on April 29, 2025, resulted in low distribution pressure for the Eastern portion of Plattsville. The incident was promptly reported to the MECP and the MOH. A precautionary Boil Water Advisory (BWA) was issued for affected properties. The affected portion of the distribution system was flushed, and chlorine residuals were found to be within acceptable levels. Additionally, two sets of bacteriological water samples were collected at three sampling locations to confirm that there was no contamination to the drinking water system. All sample results returned satisfactory.

- A bacteriological sample result taken from the distribution system on September 8, 2025, was found to have total coliforms of 2 CFU/100 mL. The result was reported to the MECP and the MOH. Resamples were collected at the site and from an upstream and downstream location. All sample results returned satisfactory.

## APPENDIX 'A': SUMMARY OF CHEMICAL RESULTS

### UNDERSTANDING CHEMICAL TEST RESULTS

The following tables summarize the laboratory results of the chemical testing the County is required to complete. Different types of parameters are required to be tested for at different frequencies as noted below. Explanations on the health impacts of these parameters can be found in the MECP document PSIB 4449e01 titled “Technical Support Document for Ontario Drinking Water Standards, Objectives and Guidelines” available at [https://cvc.ca/wp-content/uploads/2011/03/std01\\_079707.pdf](https://cvc.ca/wp-content/uploads/2011/03/std01_079707.pdf).

Results are shown as concentrations with units of either milligrams per litre (mg/L) or micrograms per litre (µg/L) where 1 mg/L is equal to 1000 µg/L. The Maximum Acceptable Concentration (MAC) is the highest amount of a parameter that is acceptable in municipal drinking water and can be found in the MECP Drinking Water Standards. The Method Detection Limit (MDL) is the lowest amount to which the laboratory can confidently measure. A result of “ND” stands for “Not Detected” and means that the concentration of the chemical is lower than the laboratory’s equipment is capable of measuring. In the event that some samples results are ND, and other results are above the MDL, the value of the MDL will be used in place of the ND where an average result must be calculated. Where all collected samples are ND the average sample result will be assumed to be ND.

Nitrate and nitrite samples are required every three months in normal operation.

Parameter	Number of Samples	Result Range Min – Max (mg/L)	Average Result (mg/L)	MAC (mg/L)	MDL (mg/L)
Nitrite	4	ND	ND	1.0	0.003
Nitrate	4	0.208 – 0.506	0.322	10.0	0.006

Trihalomethane (THM) and total Haloacetic Acids (HAA) are by-products of the disinfection process. The samples are required every three months from the distribution system.

Parameter	Number of Samples	Annual Average (µg/L)	MAC (µg/L)	MDL (µg/L)
Trihalomethane (THM)	4	17.8	100	0.37
Haloacetic Acids (HAA)	4	ND	80	5.3

The following table summarizes the most recent test results for sodium and fluoride. Testing and reporting any adverse results is required every five years.

Parameter	Sample Date	Result Value (mg/L)	MAC (mg/L)	MDL (mg/L)
Sodium	May 30, 2022	21.7	20*	0.01
Fluoride	August 16, 2021	1.08	1.5**	0.06

\*Sodium levels between 20 – 200 mg/L must be reported every 5 years.

\*\*Natural levels of fluoride between 1.5 – 2.4 mg/L must be reported every 5 years.

The following table summarizes the most recent results for the Lead Testing Program. Lead samples are taken every three years. Levels of alkalinity and pH are monitored twice per year in the distribution system to ensure water quality is consistent and does not facilitate leaching of lead into the water.

Parameter	Number of Samples	Result Range (Min - Max)	Acceptable Level
Distribution Alkalinity 2025	4	237 – 304 mg/L	30 – 500 mg/L
Distribution pH 2025	4	7.22 – 7.50	6.5 – 8.5
Distribution Lead 2024	4	0.10 – 0.94 µg/L	10 µg/L MAC

The following table summarizes the most recent test results for Schedule 23 parameters. Testing is required every three years for secure groundwater wells in large systems.

Parameter	Sample Date	Result Value (µg/L)	MAC (µg/L)	MDL (µg/L)
Antimony	June 2, 2025	ND	6	0.6
Arsenic	June 2, 2025	0.3	10	0.2
Barium	June 2, 2025	9.08	1000	0.02
Boron	June 2, 2025	118	5000	2
Cadmium	June 2, 2025	0.010	5	0.003
Chromium	June 2, 2025	0.20	50	0.08
Mercury	June 2, 2025	ND	1	0.01
Selenium	June 2, 2025	0.10	50	0.04
Uranium	June 2, 2025	0.44	20	0.002


The following table summarizes the most recent test results for Schedule 24 parameters. Testing is required every three years for secure groundwater wells in large systems.

Parameter	Sample Date	Result (µg/L)	MAC (µg/L)	MDL (µg/L)
Alachlor	May 27, 2024	ND	5	0.02
Atrazine + N-dealkylated metabolites	May 27, 2024	ND	5	0.01
Azinphos-methyl	May 27, 2024	ND	20	0.05
Benzene	May 27, 2024	ND	1	0.32
Benzo(a)pyrene	May 27, 2024	ND	0.01	0.004
Bromoxynil	May 27, 2024	ND	5	0.33
Carbaryl	May 27, 2024	ND	90	0.05
Carbofuran	May 27, 2024	ND	90	0.01
Carbon Tetrachloride	May 27, 2024	ND	2	0.17
Chlorpyrifos	May 27, 2024	ND	90	0.02
Diazinon	May 27, 2024	ND	20	0.02
Dicamba	May 27, 2024	ND	120	0.20
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	May 27, 2024	ND	200	0.41
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	May 27, 2024	ND	5	0.36
1,2-Dichloroethane	May 27, 2024	ND	5	0.35
1,1-Dichloroethylene (vinylidene chloride)	May 27, 2024	ND	14	0.33
Dichloromethane	May 27, 2024	ND	50	0.35
2-4 Dichlorophenol	May 27, 2024	ND	900	0.15
2,4-Dichlorophenoxy acetic acid (2,4-D)	May 27, 2024	ND	100	0.19
Diclofop-methyl	May 27, 2024	ND	9	0.40
Dimethoate	May 27, 2024	ND	20	0.06

Parameter	Sample Date	Result (µg/L)	MAC (µg/L)	MDL (µg/L)
Diquat	May 27, 2024	ND	70	1
Diuron	May 27, 2024	ND	150	0.03
Glyphosate	May 27, 2024	ND	280	1
Malathion	May 27, 2024	ND	190	0.02
2-methyl-4-chlorophenoxyacetic acid (MCPA)	May 27, 2024	ND	100	0.12
Metolachlor	May 27, 2024	ND	50	0.01
Metribuzin	May 27, 2024	ND	80	0.02
Monochlorobenzene	May 27, 2024	ND	80	0.30
Paraquat	May 27, 2024	ND	10	1
Pentachlorophenol	May 27, 2024	ND	60	0.15
Phorate	May 27, 2024	ND	2	0.01
Picloram	May 27, 2024	ND	190	1
Polychlorinated Biphenyls(PCB)	May 27, 2024	ND	3	0.04
Prometryne	May 27, 2024	ND	1	0.03
Simazine	May 27, 2024	ND	10	0.01
Terbufos	May 27, 2024	ND	1	0.01
Tetrachloroethylene	May 27, 2024	ND	10	0.35
2,3,4,6-Tetrachlorophenol	May 27, 2024	ND	100	0.20
Triallate	May 27, 2024	ND	230	0.01
Trichloroethylene	May 27, 2024	ND	5	0.44
2,4,6-Trichlorophenol	May 27, 2024	ND	5	0.25
Trifluralin	May 27, 2024	ND	45	0.02
Vinyl Chloride	May 27, 2024	ND	1	0.17


## APPENDIX 'B': WATER QUANTITY SUMMARY

### 2025 Average vs Maximum Daily Flow Rates



\*\* Operational practices artificially elevate the maximum daily flows. Flows are recalculated to a 3-day maximum average day flow. See Section 5 of Annual Report

### 2025 Daily Flow



In 2025 the Plattsburg Supply Capacity and Dynamic Supply Capacity were the same.

## 2025 Total Production by Well

